

---

Subject: Re: Network namespaces a path to mergable code.

Posted by [ebiederm](#) on Thu, 29 Jun 2006 00:19:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

James Morris <jmorris@namei.org> writes:

> On Wed, 28 Jun 2006, Daniel Lezcano wrote:

>

>> The attached patch can have some part interesting for you for the socket

>> tagging. It is in the IPV4 isolation (part 5/6). With this and the private

>> routing table you will probably have a good IPV4 isolation.

>

> Please send patches inline, do not attach them.

>

> (Perhaps we should have a filter on vger which drops emails with

> attachements).

>

> All of this needs to be done in a way where it can be entirely disabled at

> compile time, so there is zero overhead for people who don't want

> network namespaces.

I agree with the principle of no overhead.

The goal is an implementation that has no measurable overhead when there is only one network namespace.

If that goal is achieved and you can compile in the network namespace code and not measure overhead there should be no need for a compile time option.

Eric

---