## Subject: Re: [patch 1/4] Network namespaces: cleanup of dev base list use Posted by Andrey Savochkin on Mon, 26 Jun 2006 15:42:05 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi Eric.

On Mon, Jun 26, 2006 at 09:13:52AM -0600, Eric W. Biederman wrote:

> Andrey Savochkin <saw@swsoft.com> writes:

- >> Cleanup of dev base list use, with the aim to make device list per-namespace.
- >> In almost every occasion, use of dev base variable and dev->next pointer
- > > could be easily replaced by for each netdev loop.
- > > A few most complicated places were converted to using
- > > first\_netdev()/next\_netdev().

> As a proof of concept patch this is ok.

- > As a real world patch this is much too big, which prevents review.
- > Plus it takes a few actions that are more than replace just
- > iterators through the device list.

dev base list is historically not the cleanest part of Linux networking. I've still spotted a place where the first device in dev\_base list is assumed to be loopback. In early days we had more, now only one place or two...

>

- > In addition I suspect several if not all of these iterators
- > can be replaced with the an appropriate helper function.

>

- > The normal structure for a patch like this would be to
- > introduce the new helper function. for each netdev.
- > And then to replace all of the users while cc'ing the
- > maintainers of those drivers. With each different
- > driver being a different patch.

>

- > There is another topic for discussion in this patch as well.
- > How much of the context should be implicit and how much
- > should be explicit.

- > If the changes from netchannels had already been implemented, and all of
- > the network processing was happening in a process context then I would
- > trivially agree that implicit would be the way to go.

Why would we want all network processing happen in a process context?

- > However short of always having code always execute in the proper
- > context I'm not comfortable with implicit parameters to functions.

> Not that this the contents of this patch should address this but the > later patches should.

We just have too many layers in networking code, and FIB/routing illustrates it well.

>

- > When I went through this, my patchset just added an explicit
- > continue if the devices was not in the appropriate namespace.
- > I actually prefer the multiple list implementation but at
- > the same time I think it is harder to get a clean implementation
- > out of it.

Certainly, dev\_base list reorganization is not the crucial point in network namespaces. But it has to be done some way or other. If people vote for a single list with skipping devices from a wrong namespace, it's fine with me, I can re-make this patch.

I personally prefer per-namespace device list since we have too many places in the kernel where this list is walked in a linear fashion, and with many namespaces this list may become quite long.

Regards

Andrey