
Subject: Re: [PATCH 0/9] namespaces: Introduction
Posted by [ebiederm](#) on Sun, 21 May 2006 18:08:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Serge E. Hallyn" <serue@us.ibm.com> writes:

>
> Here are the numbers with the basic patchsets. But I guess I should
> do another round with adding 7 more void*'s to represent additional
> namespaces.

I'm a little slow coming up to speed on these benchmarks.
dbench and tbench are measured in megabytes per second correct?
kernbench is the number of seconds it takes to compile a kernel?
reaim is measured in jobs per minute?

So if I read this right the differences are currently in
the noise levels, from your testing.

> (intervals are for 95% CI, tests were each run 15 times)

>
> | with nsproxy | without nsproxy |
> kernbench | 68.90 +/- 0.21 | 69.06 +/- 0.22 |
> dbench | 386.0 +/- 26.6 | 388.4 +/- 21.0 |
> tbench | 391.6 +/- 8.00 | 389.4 +/- 10.95 |

>
> reaim with nsproxy
> 1 115600.000000 5512.441557
> 3 246985.712000 9375.780582
> 5 272309.092000 8029.833742
> 7 290020.000000 7288.367116
> 9 298591.580000 5557.531915
> 11 nan nan
> 13 nan nan
> 15 nan nan
>
> reaim without nsproxy
> 1 110160.000000 5728.697311
> 3 246985.712000 9375.780582
> 5 262204.197333 11138.510652
> 7 288660.000000 6880.898412
> 9 300631.580000 4351.926692
> 11 nan nan
> 13 nan nan
> 15 nan nan
