Subject: Re: [PATCH 0/9] namespaces: Introduction Posted by ebiederm on Fri, 19 May 2006 08:50:19 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

"Serge E. Hallyn" <serue@us.ibm.com> writes:

- > This patchset introduces a per-process utsname namespace. These can
- > be used by openvz, vserver, and application migration to virtualize and
- > isolate utsname info (i.e. hostname). More resources will follow, until
- > hopefully most or all vserver and openvz functionality can be implemented
- > by controlling resource namespaces from userspace.

_

- > Previous utsname submissions placed a pointer to the utsname namespace
- > straight in the task_struct. This patchset (and the last one) moves
- > it and the filesystem namespace pointer into struct nsproxy, which is
- > shared by processes sharing all namespaces. The intent is to keep
- > the taskstruct smaller as the number of namespaces grows.

Previously you mentioned:

- > BTW a first set of comparison results showed naproxy to have better
- > dbench and tbench throughput, and worse kernbench performance. Which
- > may make sense given that nsproxy results in lower memory usage but
- > likely increased cache misses due to extra pointer dereference.

Is this still true? Or did our final reference counting tweak fix the kernbench numbers?

I just want to be certain that we don't add an optimization, that reduces performance.

> Changes:

- > the reference count on fs namespace and uts namespace now
- > refers to the number of nsproxies pointing to it
- > some consolidation of namespace cloning and exit code to
- > clean up kernel/{fork,exit}.c
- > passed Itp and Itpstress on smp power, x86, and x86-64
- > boxes.

NΙ	ī.	\sim
ıv		\cdots

Eric