

Andrew Morton wrote:

>Generally, I think that the whole approach of virtualising the OS so it can
>run multiple independent instances of userspace is a good one.
>[...]
>All of which begs the question "now what?".
>[...]
> It would help set minds at ease if someone could produce a
> bullet-point list of what features the kernel will need to get it to the
> stage where "most or all vserver and openvz functionality can be
> implemented by controlling resource namespaces from userspace." Then we
> can discuss that list, make sure that everyone's pretty much in
> agreement.
>
>

This is a heartening position to hear from someone such as yourself; we seem to be at a near consensus of the way forward.

Here's a list based on the one I came up with when I originally started my line of development, which got shot down so badly it lost a few priority points on my workqueue scheduler :-).

0. features that don't need namespaces per se

- a. Bind Mount Options (mount --bind -o ro, etc)
- b. FS - immutable linkage invert (immulink)

1. core vserver patch - no features (this stuff is succeeded by Serge's set)

- a. struct and ps addition; internal API and refcounting
- b. syscall, and switch (to be canned)
- c. /proc visibility
- d. debugging
- e. history

2. isolation features

- a. IPC, semaphore, and signal restrictions
- b. proc/array filtering
- c. IPv4 chbind
- d. FS chroot() barrier
- e. general /proc filtering
- f. ptrace

g. process admin: alloc_uid, find_user, sys_setpriority

3. virtualisation features

- a. uts information
- b. initpid virtualisation
- c. uptime
- d. time
- e. load average
- f. ksyslog
- g. vshelper (reboot support)
- h. vroot (quota, fs IOCTL, etc)
- i. general PID virtualisation (eric)
- j. ngnet (network stack virtualisation)

4. resource tracking features

- a. scheduler tracking hook
- b. FS namespace counting
- c. FS namespace tagging
- d. ulimits
- e. RSS usage
- f. IO - async tracking

5. resource sharing features

- a. scheduling v1 - TBF and vavavoom
- b. disk scheduler integration
- c. RSS limits
- d. FS - mad cow

6. resource limit features

- a. scheduler
- b. rlimits
- c. disklimits

7. super whizzy features

- a. Namespace checkpointing
- b. Namespace migration
- c. HA Cluster Computing (think Tandem)

Can anyone see any that are missed?

As far as how it is tested etc, I have no particular preferences, whatever people are happy with. I'll continue to track submissions in the [utsi.gen.nz](https://github.com/utsi/gen.nz) repository:

<http://utsl.gen.nz/gitweb/?p=vserver>

I'll import Serge's new submission there now.

Sam.
