Subject: Re: [PATCH 1/2] signals: kill(-1) should only signal processes in the same namespace

Posted by Oleg Nesterov on Thu, 17 Jul 2008 17:31:10 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On 07/17,	Pavel	Emely	yanov	wrote:
-----------	-------	-------	-------	--------

> > D

> Daniel Hokka Zakrisson wrote:

> >

- >> The way zap\_pid\_ns\_processes does it is worse, since it signals every
- > > thread in the namespace rather than every thread group. So either we walk

>

- > It's questionable whether there are more "threads in a pid namespace" than
- > "processes in a system".

>

- > E.g. on my notebook there are ~110 processes and ~150 threads. So having
- > this setup launched in 10 containers you'll have to walk 1100 tasks, while
- > zap\_pid\_ns\_processes only 150;)

>

- > Some real-life example with containers: on one of our servers with 10
- > containers serving as git repo, bulding system and some other stuff there
- > are ~200 process totally and ~20 threads in each container. See?

>

- > I tend to believe that walking threads in a container is cheaper then
- > walking processes in a system...

kill\_something\_info() can't walk threads, think about the realtime signals.

Anyway, I think we should change kill\_something\_info(-1) to use rcu\_read\_lock() instead of tasklist.

Oleg.

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers