Subject: Re: [BUG][cryo] Create file on restart? Posted by serue on Thu, 17 Jul 2008 02:21:34 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
Quoting Matt Helsley (matthltc@us.ibm.com):
> On Wed, 2008-07-16 at 14:26 -0700, sukadev@us.ibm.com wrote:
> > Serge E. Hallyn [serue@us.ibm.com] wrote:
>> | Quoting sukadev@us.ibm.com (sukadev@us.ibm.com):
>> | > Serge E. Hallyn [serue@us.ibm.com] wrote:
>> | > | Quoting sukadev@us.ibm.com (sukadev@us.ibm.com):
>>|>|>
>> | > | > cryo does not (cannot?) recreate files if the application created
>> | > | I think that's for the best.
>> | > |
> > | > | Don't you?
>> | >
>> | > I can understand that configuration or data files should exist, but
>> | > not sure about temporary or log files that an application created
>> | > upon start-up and expects to be present. Should the admin find
>> | > out about them and create them by hand before restart?
> > |
>> | I think the admin should have set the destination environment such that
>> | the task is restarted in the same network fs in the same directory, with
> > | no files having been deleted.
> [Assuming Serge meant: s/network fs/network, fs,/]
```

Well no I meant a network filesystem - at least if you're migrating apps around a cluster.

- > > or new files created? For instance if the application was checkpointed
- > > before it created a temporary file with O_EXCL flag, that temporary
- > > file must not exist when restarting?
- > I think that's not a problem given my assumptions above. The filesystem
- > that the application restarts in would be the same because the admin
- > should have set up the restart environment as Serge suggested. The admin
- > can't rely on restart in an alternate environment. However, given
- > knowledge of the application and environment, using an alternate
- > environment may be a risk the admin is willing to take.

Yup. But Suka is right that in the case of the checkpointed app continuing to run for a bit before being killed and restarted, it could get out of whack with respect to the file system.

> > | Am I wrong?

>

> >

- > > So we take a snapshot of the FS and checkpoint the application. Do they
- > > need to be atomic?

>

- > If all the applications in a container are frozen then I think we can
- > get fs snapshots consistent with checkpointed applications.
- > Otherwise, yes, I think we'd be gambling that the checkpointed
- > application isn't interacting with another, running, application via an
- > intermittently-shared file.

What fun:)

I wonder whether the experience of users of c/r on sgi and cray could teach us anything here.

-serge

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers