Subject: [PATCH -mm 1/3] i/o bandwidth controller documentation Posted by Andrea Righi on Sat, 12 Jul 2008 11:31:29 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Documentation of the block device I/O bandwidth controller: description, usage, advantages and design.

Signed-off-by: Andrea Righi <righi.andrea@gmail.com> 1 files changed, 282 insertions(+), 0 deletions(-) create mode 100644 Documentation/controllers/io-throttle.txt diff --git a/Documentation/controllers/io-throttle.txt b/Documentation/controllers/io-throttle.txt new file mode 100644 index 0000000..ab33633 --- /dev/null +++ b/Documentation/controllers/io-throttle.txt @@ -0.0 +1.282 @@ + Block device I/O bandwidth controller + +1. Description +This controller allows to limit the I/O bandwidth of specific block devices for +specific process containers (cgroups) imposing additional delays on I/O +requests for those processes that exceed the limits defined in the control +group filesystem. +Bandwidth limiting rules offer better control over QoS with respect to priority +or weight-based solutions that only give information about applications' +relative performance requirements. Nevertheless, priority based solutions are +affected by performance bursts, when only low-priority requests are submitted +to a general purpose resource dispatcher. + +The goal of the I/O bandwidth controller is to improve performance +predictability and provide performance isolation of different control groups +sharing the same block devices. +NOTE #1: If you're looking for a way to improve the overall throughput of the +system probably you should use a different solution. +NOTE #2: The current implementation does not guarantee minimum bandwidth +levels, the QoS is implemented only slowing down I/O "traffic" that exceeds the +limits specified by the user; minimum I/O rate thresholds are supposed to be +guaranteed if the user configures a proper I/O bandwidth partitioning of the +block devices shared among the different cgroups (theoretically if the sum of +all the single limits defined for a block device doesn't exceed the total I/O

```
+bandwidth of that device).
+2. User Interface
+A new I/O bandwidth limitation rule is described using the file
+blockio.bandwidth.
+
+The same file can be used to set multiple rules for different block devices
+relative to the same cgroup.
+2.1. Configure I/O limiting rules
+The syntax to configure a limiting rule is the following:
+# /bin/echo DEV:BW:STRATEGY:BUCKET_SIZE > CGROUP/blockio.bandwidth
+- DEV is the name of the device the limiting rule is applied to.
+- BW is the maximum I/O bandwidth on DEVICE allowed by CGROUP; bandwidth must
+ be expressed in bytes/s. A generic I/O bandwidth limiting rule for a block
+ device DEV can be removed setting the BW value to 0.
+- STRATEGY is the throttling strategy used to throttle the applications' I/O
+ requests from/to device DEV. At the moment two different strategies can be
+ used:
  0 = leaky bucket: the controller accepts at most B bytes (B = BW * time);
    further I/O requests are delayed scheduling a timeout for
             the tasks that made those requests.
+
        Different I/O flow
          | | |
+
          | v |
+
          | v
+
          V
          ......
          \ / leaky-bucket
+
           ---
           Ш
           VVV
+
         Smoothed I/O flow
+
  1 = token bucket: BW tokens are added to the bucket every seconds; the bucket
    can hold at the most BUCKET_SIZE tokens; I/O requests are
+
    accepted if there are available tokens in the bucket; when
+
    a request of N bytes arrives N tokens are removed from the
+
    bucket; if fewer than N tokens are available the request is
```

```
delayed until a sufficient amount of token is available in
+
             the bucket.
+
+
        Tokens (I/O rate)
+
+
           0
           0
+
          0
+
         ..... <--.
         \ / | Bucket size (burst limit)
+
          \000/
          --- <--'
+
           looo
   Incoming --->|---> Conforming
+
            loo I/O
+
   I/O
   requests -->|--> requests
+
+
+
        ---->
+ Leaky bucket is more precise than token bucket to respect the bandwidth
+ limits, because bursty workloads are always smoothed. Token bucket, instead,
+ allows a small irregularity degree in the I/O flows (burst limit), and, for
+ this, it is better in terms of efficiency (bursty workloads are not smoothed
+ when there are sufficient tokens in the bucket).
+- BUCKET_SIZE is used only with token bucket (STRATEGY == 1) and defines the
+ size of the bucket in bytes.
+- CGROUP is the name of the limited process container.
+Also the following syntaxes are allowed:
+- remove an I/O bandwidth limiting rule
+# /bin/echo DEV:0 > CGROUP/blockio.bandwidth
+- configure a limiting rule using leaky bucket throttling (ignore bucket size):
+# /bin/echo DEV:BW:0 > CGROUP/blockio.bandwidth
+2.2. Show I/O limiting rules
+All the defined rules and statistics for a specific cgroup can be shown reading
+the file blockio.bandwidth. The following syntax is used:
+$ cat CGROUP/blockio.bandwidth
+MAJOR MINOR BW STRATEGY LEAKY_STAT BUCKET_SIZE BUCKET_FILL TIME_DELTA
+- MAJOR is the major device number of DEV (defined above)
+- MINOR is the minor device number of DEV (defined above)
```

```
+- BW, STRATEGY and BUCKET SIZE are the same parameters defined above
+- LEAKY_STAT is the amount of bytes currently allowed by the I/O bandwidth
+ controller (only used with leaky bucket strategy - STRATEGY == 0)
+- BUCKET FILL represents the amount of tokens present in the bucket (only used
+ with token bucket strategy - STRATEGY == 1)
+- TIME DELTA can be one of the following:
+ - the amount of jiffies elapsed from the last I/O request (token bucket)
+ - the amount of jiffies during which the bytes given by LEAKY STAT have been
   accumulated (leaky bucket)
+Multiple per-block device rules are reported in multiple rows
+(DEVi, i = 1 ... n):
+$ cat CGROUP/blockio.bandwidth
+MAJOR1 MINOR1 BW1 STRATEGY1 LEAKY STAT1 BUCKET SIZE1 BUCKET FILL1
TIME DELTA1
+MAJOR1 MINOR1 BW2 STRATEGY2 LEAKY_STAT2 BUCKET_SIZE2 BUCKET_FILL2
TIME DELTA2
+...
+MAJORn MINORn BWn STRATEGYn LEAKY_STATn BUCKET_SIZEn BUCKET_FILLn
TIME DELTAn
+2.3. Examples
+* Mount the cgroup filesystem (blockio subsystem):
+ # mkdir /mnt/cgroup
+ # mount -t cgroup -oblockio blockio /mnt/cgroup
+* Instantiate the new cgroup "foo":
+ # mkdir /mnt/cgroup/foo
+ --> the cgroup foo has been created
+* Add the current shell process to the cgroup "foo":
+ # /bin/echo $$ > /mnt/cgroup/foo/tasks
+ --> the current shell has been added to the cgroup "foo"
+* Give maximum 1MiB/s of I/O bandwidth on /dev/sda for the cgroup "foo", using
+ leaky bucket throttling strategy:
+ #/bin/echo/dev/sda:$((1024 * 1024)):0:0 > \
+ > /mnt/cgroup/foo/blockio.bandwidth
+ # sh
+ --> the subshell 'sh' is running in cgroup "foo" and it can use a maximum I/O
    bandwidth of 1MiB/s on /dev/sda
```

```
+* Give maximum 8MiB/s of I/O bandwidth on /dev/sdb for the cgroup "foo", using
+ token bucket throttling strategy, bucket size = 8MB:
+ #/bin/echo/dev/sdb:$((8 * 1024 * 1024)):1:$((8 * 1024 * 1024)) > \
+ > /mnt/cgroup/foo/blockio.bandwidth
+ --> the subshell 'sh' is running in cgroup "foo" and it can use a maximum I/O
    bandwidth of 1MiB/s on /dev/sda (controlled by leaky bucket throttling)
     and 8MiB/s on /dev/sdb (controlled by token bucket throttling)
+* Run a benchmark doing I/O on /dev/sda and /dev/sdb; I/O limits and usage
+ defined for cgroup "foo" can be shown as following:
+ # cat /mnt/cgroup/foo/blockio.bandwidth
+ 8 16 8388608 1 0 8388608 -522560 48
+ 8 0 1048576 0 737280 0 0 216
+* Extend the maximum I/O bandwidth for the cgroup "foo" to 16MiB/s on /dev/sda:
+ #/bin/echo/dev/sda:$((16 * 1024 * 1024)):0:0 > \
+ > /mnt/cgroup/foo/blockio.bandwidth
+ # cat /mnt/cgroup/foo/blockio.bandwidth
+ 8 16 8388608 1 0 8388608 -84432 206436
+ 8 0 16777216 0 0 0 0 15212
+* Remove limiting rule on /dev/sdb for cgroup "foo":
+ #/bin/echo/dev/sdb:0:0:0 > /mnt/cgroup/foo/blockio.bandwidth
+ # cat /mnt/cgroup/foo/blockio.bandwidth
+ 8 0 16777216 0 0 0 0 110388
+3. Advantages of providing this feature
+* Allow I/O traffic shaping for block device shared among different cgroups
+* Improve I/O performance predictability on block devices shared between
+ different cgroups
+* Limiting rules do not depend of the particular I/O scheduler (anticipatory,
+ deadline, CFQ, noop) and/or the type of the underlying block devices
+* The bandwidth limitations are guaranteed both for synchronous and
+ asynchronous operations, even the I/O passing through the page cache or
+ buffers and not only direct I/O (see below for details)
+* It is possible to implement a simple user-space application to dynamically
+ adjust the I/O workload of different process containers at run-time,
+ according to the particular users' requirements and applications' performance
+ constraints
+* It is even possible to implement event-based performance throttling
+ mechanisms; for example the same user-space application could actively
+ throttle the I/O bandwidth to reduce power consumption when the battery of a
```

+4. Design

+ mobile device is running low (power throttling) or when the temperature of a

+ hardware component is too high (thermal throttling)

```
+The I/O throttling is performed imposing an explicit timeout, via
+schedule_timeout_killable() on the processes that exceed the I/O bandwidth
+dedicated to the cgroup they belong to. I/O accounting happens per cgroup.
+It just works as expected for read operations: the real I/O activity is reduced
+synchronously according to the defined limitations.
+Write operations, instead, are modeled depending of the dirty pages ratio
+(write throttling in memory), since the writes to the real block devices are
+processed asynchronously by different kernel threads (pdflush). However, the
+dirty pages ratio is directly proportional to the actual I/O that will be
+performed on the real block device. So, due to the asynchronous transfers
+through the page cache, the I/O throttling in memory can be considered a form
+of anticipatory throttling to the underlying block devices.
+Multiple re-writes in already dirtied page cache areas are not considered for
+accounting the I/O activity. This is valid for multiple re-reads of pages
+already present in the page cache as well.
+This means that a process that re-writes and/or re-reads multiple times the
+same blocks in a file (without re-creating it by truncate(), ftrunctate(),
+creat(), etc.) is affected by the I/O limitations only for the actual I/O
+performed to (or from) the underlying block devices.
+Multiple rules for different block devices are stored in a linked list, using
+the dev_t number of each block device as key to uniquely identify each element
+of the list. RCU synchronization is used to protect the whole list structure,
+since the elements in the list are not supposed to change frequently (they
+change only when a new rule is defined or an old rule is removed or updated),
+while the reads in the list occur at each operation that generates I/O. This
+allows to provide zero overhead for cgroups that do not use any limitation.
+WARNING: per-block device limiting rules always refer to the dev_t device
+number. If a block device is unplugged (i.e. a USB device) the limiting rules
+defined for that device persist and they are still valid if a new device is
+plugged in the system and it uses the same major and minor numbers.
+
+NOTE: explicit sleeps are *not* imposed on tasks doing asynchronous I/O (AIO)
+operations; AIO throttling is performed returning -EAGAIN from sys io submit().
+Userspace applications must be able to handle this error code opportunely.
+5. Todo
+* Try to reduce the cost of calling cgroup_io_throttle() on every
+ submit_bio(READ, ...); this is not too much expensive, but the call of
+ task subsys state() has surely a cost. A possible solution could be to
+ temporarily account I/O in the current task struct and call
```

- + cgroup io throttle() only on each X MB of I/O. Or on each Y number of I/O
- + requests as well. Better if both X and/or Y can be tuned at runtime by a
- + userspace tool.

+

- +* Think an alternative design for general purpose usage; special purpose usage
- + right now is restricted to improve I/O performance predictability and
- + evaluate more precise response timings for applications doing I/O. To a large
- + degree the block I/O bandwidth controller should implement a more complex
- + logic to better evaluate real I/O operations cost, depending also on the
- + particular block device profile (i.e. USB stick, optical drive, hard disk,
- + etc.). This would also allow to appropriately account I/O cost for seeky
- + workloads, respect to large stream workloads. Instead of looking at the
- + request stream and try to predict how expensive the I/O cost will be, a
- + totally different approach could be to collect request timings (start time /
- + elapsed time) and based on collected informations, try to estimate the I/O
- + cost and usage (idea proposed by Andrew Morton <akpm@linux-foundation.org>).

1.5.4.3

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers