

---

Subject: Re: [RFC] Transactional CGroup task attachment  
Posted by [Paul Menage](#) on Sat, 12 Jul 2008 02:09:42 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Fri, Jul 11, 2008 at 7:03 PM, Matt Helsley <matthltc@us.ibm.com> wrote:

>

> True, though I don't think it's as simple as just enabling lockdep. My  
> understanding is you won't be able to determine if locks could ever be  
> taken out of order unless all of the cgroup systems are enabled and they  
> are all in the same cgroup hierarchy.

I wonder how hard it would be to extend lockdep to give a "signature"  
of locking operations from point A to point B? Then you could just  
compare a new subsystem with all existing subsystems without actually  
running with it.

Paul

---

Containers mailing list  
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org  
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---