

---

Subject: Re: [RFC] Transactional CGroup task attachment

Posted by [Matt Helsley](#) on Sat, 12 Jul 2008 02:03:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Fri, 2008-07-11 at 18:49 -0700, Paul Menage wrote:

> On Fri, Jul 11, 2008 at 5:48 PM, Matt Helsley <matthltc@us.ibm.com> wrote:

> >

> > I can't see a better way to support that goal and it doesn't seem

> > overly ambitious to me. Just needs a somewhat specific test

> > configuration for new subsystem patches to detect the deadlock issue.

>

> Right - but all non-trivial development should be done with lockdep

> enabled anyway.

>

> Paul

True, though I don't think it's as simple as just enabling lockdep. My understanding is you won't be able to determine if locks could ever be taken out of order unless all of the cgroup systems are enabled and they are all in the same cgroup hierarchy.

Cheers,

-Matt Helsley

---

Containers mailing list

[Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org](mailto:Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org)

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---