
Subject: Re: Checkpoint/restart (was Re: [PATCH 0/4] - v2 - Object creation with a specified id)

Posted by [Alexey Dobriyan](#) on Fri, 11 Jul 2008 00:32:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Thu, Jul 10, 2008 at 12:47:32PM -0700, Dave Hansen wrote:

> On Thu, 2008-07-10 at 12:21 -0700, Eric W. Biederman wrote:

> > > Are we talking about the VMA itself, or the memory backing the VMA?

> >

> > The memory backing the VMA. We need to store the page protections

> > that the memory was mapped with as well now that you point it out. A

> > VMA is not user space visible, which is why we can arbitrarily split

> > and merge VMAs.

>

> It is visible with /proc/\$pid/{maps,smaps,numamaps?}. That's the only

> efficient way I know of from userspace to figure out where userspace's

> memory is and if it *is* file backed, and what the permissions are.

None of those files exist if PROC_FS is off.

> We also can't truly split them arbitrarily because the memory cost of

> the VMAs themselves might become too heavy (the remap_file_pages()

> problem).

>

> It gets trickier when things are also private mappings in addition to

> being in a file-backed VMA. We *do* need to checkpoint those, but only

> the pages to which there was a write.

>

> There's also the problem of restoring things read-only, but VM_MAYWRITE.

> If there's a high level of (non-COW'd) sharing of these anonymous areas,

> we may not be able to even restore the set of processes unless we can

> replicate the sharing. We might run out of memory if the sharing isn't

> replicated.

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
