Subject: Re: [PATCH 0/4] Container Freezer: Reuse Suspend Freezer
Posted by KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki on Thu, 10 Jul 2008 00:39:43 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Wed, 09 Jul 2008 14:58:43 -0700
Matt Helsley <matthltc@us.ibm.com> wrote:

>
> On Tue, 2008-07-08 at 13:07 -0700, Paul Menage wrote:
> > On Tue, Jul 8, 2008 at 1:06 PM, Paul Menage <menage@google.com> wrote:
> > > On Tue, Jul 8, 2008 at 12:39 PM, Matt Helsley <matthltc@us.ibm.com> wrote:
> > >>
> > >> One is to try and disallow users from moving frozen tasks. That doesn't
> > >> seem like a good approach since it would require a new cgroups interface
> > >> "can_detach()".
> > >
> > > Detaching from the old cgroup happens at the same time as attaching to
> > > the new cgroup, so can_attach() would work here.
>
> Update: I've made a patch implementing this. However it might be better
> to just modify attach() to thaw the moving task rather than disallow
> moving the frozen task. Serge, Cedric, Kame-san, do you have any
> thoughts on which is more useful and/or intuitive?
>

Thank you for explanation in previous mail.

Hmm, just thawing seems atractive but it will confuse people (I think).

I think some kind of process-group is freezed by this freezer and "moving
freezed task" is wrong(unexpected) operation in general. And there will
be no demand to do that from users.
I think just taking "moving freezed task" as error-operation and returning
-EBUSY is better.

Thanks,
-Kame

> > And the whole can_attach()/attach() protocol needs reworking anyway,
> > see my email (hopefully) later today.
> >
> > Paul
>
> Interesting. I look forward to seeing this.
>
> Cheers,
> -Matt
>

>

_____

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers