## Subject: Re: [PATCH 00/15] sysfs support for namespaces Posted by ebiederm on Mon, 07 Jul 2008 12:22:43 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Cornelia Huck <cornelia.huck@de.ibm.com> writes:

- > My impression was that the networking folks didn't want any warnings for
- > renaming failures, not just not for renaming a device to the same name.

Which would be reasonable. Because all of the checks have been done before sysfs is called so if sysfs sees a problem it is a sysfs bug.

- >> In addition my introduction sysfs\_rename\_link handles this case
- >> cleanly by first removing the old link and then creating the new
- >> link. Preventing false positives when the link names are the same.
- > sysfs\_rename\_link() looks cleaner, I agree.
- > sysis\_rename\_iink() looks cleaner, r agree.
- >>

>

- >> So it should be safe to drop Cornelia patch without a reoccurance
- >> of scary errors.
- > Hm, the description looks badly worded I unfortunately left the old
- > text unchanged when I respun the patch :( The patch re-introduces the
- > warning in sysfs\_add\_one() which had been removed in the meanwhile and
- > makes device rename() use a non-warning version. I still think we want
- > a warning for the general case since this is usually caused be some
- > problems in the calling code (and the alternative would be to add
- > checks to all callers.)

Right. We just need to get the sysfs paths clean enough that we don't emit false positives. I think I have accomplished that for rename.

**Eric** 

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers