
Subject: Re: [PATCH 12/15] driver core: Implement tagged directory support for device classes.

Posted by [ebiederm](#) on Fri, 04 Jul 2008 13:31:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thank you for your opinion.

Incremental patches to make things more beautiful are welcome.

Please remember we are not building lisp. The goal is code that works today.

Since we are not talking about correctness of the code. Since we are not talking about interfaces with user space. Since we are talking something that is currently about 100 lines of code, and so will be easy to change even after it is merged. I don't understand how discussing this further is useful. Especially when I get a NAK based on the feel that the code is ugly.

As for your main objection. Adding a accessor method to an object versus adding a data field that contain the same thing. The two are effectively identical. With the practical difference in my eyes that an accessor method prevents data duplication which reduces maintenance and reduces skew problems, and it keeps the size of struct kobject small. Since you think methods are horrible I must respectfully disagree with you.

Eric

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
