```
Subject: Re: [PATCH -mm 0/5] swapcgroup (v3)
Posted by Daisuke Nishimura on Fri, 04 Jul 2008 10:58:36 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message
On Fri, 4 Jul 2008 18:40:33 +0900, KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki <kamezawa.hiroyu@jp.fujitsu.com>
wrote:
> On Fri, 4 Jul 2008 15:15:36 +0900
> Daisuke Nishimura <nishimura@mxp.nes.nec.co.jp> wrote:
> > Hi.
> >
> > This is new version of swapcgroup.
> > Major changes from previous version
> > - Rebased on 2.6.26-rc5-mm3.
>> The new -mm has been released, but these patches
>> can be applied on 2.6.26-rc8-mm1 too with only some offset warnings.
>> I tested these patches on 2.6.26-rc5-mm3 with some fixes about memory,
>> and it seems to work fine.
>> - (NEW) Implemented force_empty.
>> Currently, it simply uncharges all the charges from the group.
> >
> > Patches
> > - [1/5] add cgroup files
> > - [2/5] add a member to swap_info_struct
> > - [3/5] implement charge and uncharge
> > - [4/5] modify vm_swap_full()
> > - [5/5] implement force empty
> >
> > ToDo(in my thought. Feel free to add some others here.)
> > - need some documentation
>> Add to memory.txt? or create a new documentation file?
> Maybe new documentation file is better.
>
O.K.
>> - add option to disable only this feature
>> I'm wondering if this option is needed.
>> memcg has already the boot option to disable it.
>> Is there any case where memory should be accounted but swap should not?
> On x86-32, area for vmalloc() is very small and array of swap_info_struct[]
> will use much amount of it. If vmalloc() area is too small, the kernel cannot
> load modules.
```

Page 1 of 2 ---- Generated from

It would be critical. I'll add an option.

Thanks, Daisuke Nishimura.

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers