Subject: Re: Attaching PID 0 to a cgroup Posted by Dhaval Giani on Tue, 01 Jul 2008 10:51:26 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On Tue, Jul 01, 2008 at 06:28:07PM +0800, Li Zefan wrote:
> CC: Paul Jackson <pj@sgi.com>
>
> Dhaval Giani wrote:
>> [put in the wrong alias for containers list correcting it.]
> > On Tue, Jul 01, 2008 at 03:15:45PM +0530, Dhaval Giani wrote:
> >> Hi Paul.
> >>
>>> Attaching PID 0 to a cgroup caused the current task to be attached to
>>> the cgroup. Looking at the code,
> >>
> [...]
> >>
>>> I was wondering, why this was done. It seems to be unexpected behavior.
>>> Wouldn't something like the following be a better response? (I've used
> >> EINVAL, but I can change it to ESRCH if that is better.)
> >>
> Why is it unexpected? it follows the behavior of cpuset, so this patch will
> break backward compatibility of cpuset.
Ah, I was not aware of that. Thanks!
> But it's better to document this.
Yes please.
> Document the following cgroup usage:
> # echo 0 > /dev/cgroup/tasks
> Signed-off-by: Li Zefan < lizf@cn.fujitsu.com>
Acked-by: Dhaval Giani <dhaval@linux.vnet.ibm.com>
> cgroups.txt | 4 ++++
> 1 file changed, 4 insertions(+)
```

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers