
Subject: Re: [PATCH 2/3] i/o bandwidth controller infrastructure

Posted by [Andrea Righi](#) on Fri, 27 Jun 2008 10:53:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Andrew Morton wrote:

> On Fri, 27 Jun 2008 00:36:46 +0200

> Andrea Righi <righi.andrea@gmail.com> wrote:

>

>>> Does all this code treat /dev/sda1 as a separate device from /dev/sda2?

>>> If so, that would be broken.

>> Yes, all the partitions are treated as separate devices with

>> (potentially) different limiting rules, but I don't understand why it

>> would be broken... dev_t has both minor and major numbers, so it would

>> be possible to select single partitions as well.

>

> Well it's functionally broken, isn't it? A physical disk has a fixed

> IO bandwidth and when the administrator wants to partition that

> bandwidth amongst control groups he will need to consider the entire

> device when doing so?

>

> I mean, the whole point of this feature and of control groups as a

> whole is isolation. But /dev/sda1 and /dev/sda2 are very much _not_

> isolated. Whereas /dev/sda and /dev/sdb are (to a large degree)

> isolated.

well... yes, sounds reasonable. In this case we could just ignore the minor number and consider only major number as the key to identify a specific block device (both for userspace<->kernel interface and when accounting/throttling i/o requests).

-Andrea

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
