Subject: Re: v2.6.26-rc7/cgroups: circular locking dependency
Posted by Max Krasnyanskiy on Thu, 26 Jun 2008 17:45:02 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Paul Menage wrote:
> Second idea - can we just punt the call to rebuild_sched_domains() to
> a workqueue thread if it's due to a flag or cpumask change? Does it
> matter if the call doesn't happen synchronously? The work handler
> could easily nest the cgroup_lock() call inside get_online_cpus() and
> then call rebuild_sched_domains()

I was thinking about exactly the same thing. I kind of don't like async
nature of it. Maybe it's ok but there might be some interesting races
with async domain updates.

Max

_____
Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers