

---

Subject: Re: A question about group CFS scheduling  
Posted by [Zhao Forrest](#) on Thu, 26 Jun 2008 08:33:11 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

>  
> Let me explain the cgroup case (the sanest option IMHO):  
>  
> initially all your tasks will belong to the root cgroup, eg:  
>  
> assuming:  
> mkdir -p /cgroup/cpu  
> mount none /cgroup/cpu -t cgroup -o cpu  
>  
> Then the root cgroup (cgroup:/) is /cgroup/cpu/ and all tasks will be  
> found in /cgroup/cpu/tasks.  
>  
> You can then create new groups as sibling from this root group, eg:  
>  
> cgroup:/foo  
> cgroup:/bar  
>  
> They will get a weight of 1024 by default, exactly as heavy as a nice 0  
> task.  
>  
> That means that no matter how many tasks you stuff into foo, their  
> combined cpu time will be as much as a single task in cgroup:/ would  
> get.  
>  
> This is fully recursive, so you can also create:  
>  
> cgroup:/foo/bar and its tasks in turn will get as much combined cpu time  
> as a single task in cgroup:/foo would get.  
>  
> In theory this should go on indefinitely, in practice we'll run into  
> serious numerical issues quite quickly.  
>  
>  
> The USER grouping basically creates a fake root and all uids (including  
> 0) are its siblings. The only special case is that uid-0 (aka root) will  
> get twice the weight of the others.  
>

Thank you for your detailed explanation! I have one more question:  
cgrouping and USER grouping is mutual exclusive, am I right? That is,  
when enabling cgrouping, USER grouping need to be disabled, vice  
versa.

Thanks,

Forrest

---

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---