

---

Subject: Re: [RFC PATCH 4/6] IPC/sem: next operations for /proc/pid/semundo  
Posted by [serue](#) on Wed, 25 Jun 2008 20:57:19 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quoting Nadia.Derbey@bull.net (Nadia.Derbey@bull.net):

> PATCH [04/06]

>

> This patch introduces the .next seq operation for /proc/pid/semundo.

>

> What should be mentioned here is that the undo\_list lock is released between  
> between each iteration.

> Doing this, we only guarantee to access some valid data during the .show,

Ok so you count on an item sticking around for the duration of the rcu\_read\_cycle(). exit\_sem() is therefore not an issue. The other possible racer is freeary() as called from IPC\_RMID, but while that could remove this entry from the undo\_list->list\_proc, it will wait an rcu cycle before it actually frees it.

Am I reading that all right? If so, then:

> not to have a full coherent view of the whole list. But, oth, this reduces the  
> the performance impact on the access to the undo\_list.

>

> Signed-off-by: Pierre Peiffer <pierre.peiffer@bull.net>

> Signed-off-by: Nadia Derby <Nadia.Derbey@bull.net>

Acked-by: Serge Hallyn <serue@us.ibm.com>

>

> ---

> ipc/sem.c | 23 ++++++

> 1 file changed, 22 insertions(+), 1 deletion(-)

>

> Index: linux-2.6.26-rc5-mm3/ipc/sem.c

> =====

> --- linux-2.6.26-rc5-mm3.orig/ipc/sem.c 2008-06-24 12:32:36.000000000 +0200

> +++ linux-2.6.26-rc5-mm3/ipc/sem.c 2008-06-24 12:54:40.000000000 +0200

> @@ -1440,7 +1440,28 @@ static void \*semundo\_start(struct seq\_file

>

> static void \*semundo\_next(struct seq\_file \*m, void \*v, loff\_t \*ppos)

> {

> - return NULL;

> + struct sem\_undo \*undo = v;

> + struct undo\_list\_data \*data = m->private;

> + struct sem\_undo\_list \*ulp = data->undo\_list;

> +

> + /\*

```

> + * No need to protect against ulp being NULL, if we are here,
> + * it can't be NULL.
> + */
> + spin_lock(&ulp->lock);
> +
> + do {
> +   undo = list_entry(rcu_dereference(undo->list_proc.next),
> +     struct sem_undo, list_proc);
> +
> + } while (&undo->list_proc != &ulp->list_proc && undo->semid == -1);
> +
> + ++*ppos;
> + spin_unlock(&ulp->lock);
> +
> + if (&undo->list_proc == &ulp->list_proc)
> +   return NULL;
> + return undo;
> }
>
> static void semundo_stop(struct seq_file *m, void *v)
>
> --

```

---

Containers mailing list  
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org  
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---