

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH 1/2][cryo] Save/restore state of unnamed pipes

Posted by [serue](#) on Tue, 24 Jun 2008 19:36:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quoting [sukadev@us.ibm.com](mailto:sukadev@us.ibm.com) ([sukadev@us.ibm.com](mailto:sukadev@us.ibm.com)):

> Serge E. Hallyn [[serue@us.ibm.com](mailto:serue@us.ibm.com)] wrote:

> | Quoting [sukadev@us.ibm.com](mailto:sukadev@us.ibm.com) ([sukadev@us.ibm.com](mailto:sukadev@us.ibm.com)):

> | > | + } else

> | > | + ERROR("Unexpected item, '%s'\n", item);

> | > | + }

> | > | + DEBUG("restore\_fifo() fd %d, len %d, got 'END FIFO'\n",

> | > | + fifofdinfo->fi\_fd, fifofdinfo->fi\_length);

> | > | + return 0;

> | > | + }

> | >

> | > fifofdinfo can be NULL if application does not have any fifos and

> | > the above DEBUG() will SIGSEGV. Following updated patch fixes this.

> | > Thanks Serge for reporting the bug.

> |

> | Thanks, Suka. This version works for both pipe and sleep testcases.

> |

> | Though, interestingly, it segfaults on the -lxc kernel. It succeeds on

> | a recent -git from Linus' tree, though.

>

> I have been testing 2.6.25-mm1.

>

> I run into the semun\_undo underflow bug with -lxc. Is that the same

> bug you are running into ?

I'm not sure... Not looking into it too deeply. Hopefully Kathy is coming out with a new -lxc in the next few days, and if it still causes me problems then I'll really look into it.

-serge

---

Containers mailing list

[Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org](mailto:Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org)

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---