

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH] Priority heap infrastructure enhancements

Posted by [Balbir Singh](#) on Sat, 21 Jun 2008 08:05:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Paul Menage wrote:

> On Wed, Jun 18, 2008 at 6:48 AM, Balbir Singh <balbir@linux.vnet.ibm.com> wrote:

>> Some of the common code has been factored into heap\_adjust() a.k.a heapify  
>> in data structures terminology.

>>

>> I am sending out this patch independent of the memory controller changes as  
>> they deserve to be reviewed independently.

>>

>> One limitation of the current heap\_insert() routine is that it does not  
>> insert an element which is greater than the root, when the heap slots  
>> are fully used. I'll work on and review that interface and find a suitable  
>> way to address that issue

>

> How else would you want it to behave? If you have a fixed size heap  
> and it's full, then you have to drop the largest value. (Well, you  
> could in theory drop the smallest value, but there's no quick way to  
> find that.)

>

I would like to be able to drop the smallest value. Since we cannot drop the  
smallest value, dropping a leaf (heap->size) should be sufficiently good enough.  
I want a max heap and losing the root of the heap does not work for me.

>> Comments, Flames? Please do review closely!

>>

>> Signed-off-by: Balbir Singh <balbir@linux.vnet.ibm.com>

>

> Looks fine.

>

> Reviewed-by: Paul Menage <menage@google.com>

Thanks for the review!

--

Warm Regards,  
Balbir Singh  
Linux Technology Center  
IBM, ISTL

---

Containers mailing list

[Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org](mailto:Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org)

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---