Subject: Re: [RFD][PATCH] memcg: Move Usage at Task Move Posted by Daisuke Nishimura on Wed, 11 Jun 2008 12:21:26 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Wed, 11 Jun 2008 13:57:34 +0530 Balbir Singh <balbir@linux.vnet.ibm.com> wrote:

(snip)

>>> 2. Don't move any usage at task move. (current implementation.) > >> Pros. - no complication in the code. > >> Cons. > >> - A task's usage is chareged to wrong cgroup. > >> - Not sure, but I believe the users don't want this. > >> > > > > I'd say stick with this unless there a strong arguments in favour of > > changing, based on concrete needs. > > > >> One reasone is that I think a typical usage of memory controller is >>> fork()->move->exec(). (by libcg ?) and exec() will flush the all usage. > > > > Exactly - this is a good reason *not* to implement move - because then > > you drag all the usage of the middleware daemon into the new cgroup. > > > > Yes. The other thing is that charges will eventually fade away. Please see the > cgroup implementation of page referenced() and mark page accessed(). The > original group on memory pressure will drop pages that were left behind by a > task that migrates. The new group will pick it up if referenced. > Hum. So, it seems that some kind of "Lazy Mode" (#3 of Kamezawa-san's) has been implemented already. But, one of the reason that I think usage should be moved is to make the usage as accurate as possible, that is

the size of memory used by processes in the group at the moment.

I agree that statistics is not the purpose of memcg(and swap), but, IMHO, it's useful feature of memcg. Administrators can know how busy or idle each groups are by it.

Thanks, Daisuke Nishimura.

Containers mailing list

Page 2 of 2 ---- Generated from OpenVZ Forum