## Subject: Re: [patch -mm 0/4] mqueue namespace Posted by ebiederm on Fri, 20 Jun 2008 03:39:44 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

ebiederm@xmission.com (Eric W. Biederman) writes:

- > One way to fix that is to add a hidden directory to the mnt namespace.
- > Where magic in kernel filesystems can be mounted. Only visible
- > with a magic openat flag. Then:

```
> fd = openat(AT_FDKERN, ".", O_DIRECTORY) 
> fchdir(fd); 
> umount("./mqueue", MNT_DETACH); 
> mount(("none", "./mqueue", "mqueue", 0, NULL);
```

> Would unshare the mqueue namespace.

>

- > Implemented for plan9 this would solve a problem of how do you get
- > access to all of it's special filesystems. As only bind mounts
- > and remote filesystem mounts are available. For linux thinking about
- > it might shake the conversation up a bit.

Thinking about this some more. What is especially attractive if we do all namespaces this way is that it solves two lurking problems.

- 1) How do you keep a namespace around without a process in it.
- 2) How do you enter a container.

If we could land the namespaces in the filesystem we could easily persist them past the point where a process is present in one if we so choose.

Entering a container would be a matter of replacing your current namespaces mounts with namespace mounts take from the filesystem.

I expect performance would degrade in practice, but it is tempting to implement it and run a benchmark and see if we can measure anything.

Eric \_\_\_\_\_\_

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers