
Subject: Re: [GIT PATCH] actually check va randomization

Posted by [serue](#) on Wed, 11 Jun 2008 19:52:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quoting sukadev@us.ibm.com (sukadev@us.ibm.com):

> Dave Hansen [dave@linux.vnet.ibm.com] wrote:

> | Rather than just documenting this in the readme, actually spit

> | out a warning on it.

>

> Why not just bail out ? Its mostly unreliable at that point anyway.

> Besides, the warning can get buried in lot of other output.

> ---

> >From 84d005031a8a17bdca62dc541c296a3bea74658c Mon Sep 17 00:00:00 2001

> From: Sukadev Bhattiprolu <sukadev@linux.vnet.ibm.com>

> Date: Wed, 11 Jun 2008 11:22:17 -0700

> Subject: [PATCH] cryo currently requires randomize_va_space to be 0. Fail if it is not.

>

> ---

> cr.c | 1 +

> 1 files changed, 1 insertions(+), 0 deletions(-)

>

> diff --git a/cr.c b/cr.c

> index db3ada0..217c5e7 100644

> --- a/cr.c

> +++ b/cr.c

> @@ -1464,6 +1464,7 @@ void check_for_va_randomize(void)

> return;

> fprintf(stderr, "WARNING: %s is set to: %d\n", VA_RANDOM_FILE, enabled);

> fprintf(stderr, " Please set to 0 to make cryo more reliable\n");

> + exit(1);

> }

>

> void usage(void)

Oh... Thanks, Suka, I'd just done the same thing using an ERROR().

thanks,

-serge

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
