
Subject: Re: [GIT PATCH] actually check va randomization
Posted by [Sukadev Bhattiprolu](#) on Wed, 11 Jun 2008 18:35:51 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dave Hansen [dave@linux.vnet.ibm.com] wrote:
| Rather than just documenting this in the readme, actually spit
| out a warning on it.

Why not just bail out ? Its mostly unreliable at that point anyway.
Besides, the warning can get buried in lot of other output.

>From 84d005031a8a17bdca62dc541c296a3bea74658c Mon Sep 17 00:00:00 2001
From: Sukadev Bhattiprolu <sukadev@linux.vnet.ibm.com>
Date: Wed, 11 Jun 2008 11:22:17 -0700
Subject: [PATCH] cryo currently requires randomize_va_space to be 0. Fail if it is not.

cr.c | 1 +
1 files changed, 1 insertions(+), 0 deletions(-)

```
diff --git a/cr.c b/cr.c
index db3ada0..217c5e7 100644
--- a/cr.c
+++ b/cr.c
@@ -1464,6 +1464,7 @@ void check_for_va_randomize(void)
    return;
    fprintf(stderr, "WARNING: %s is set to: %d\n", VA_RANDOM_FILE, enabled);
    fprintf(stderr, "    Please set to 0 to make cryo more reliable\n");
+ exit(1);
}

void usage(void)
--
1.5.2.5
```

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
