

---

Subject: Re: [RFD][PATCH] memcg: Move Usage at Task Move  
Posted by [KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki](#) on Wed, 11 Jun 2008 03:24:56 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Wed, 11 Jun 2008 12:03:45 +0900

Daisuke Nishimura <nishimura@mxp.nes.nec.co.jp> wrote:

> > > Or, instead of implementing rollback in kernel,  
> > > how about making user(or middle ware?) re-echo pid to rollbak  
> > > on failure?  
> > >  
> >  
> > "If the users does well, the system works in better way" is O.K.  
> > "If the users doesn't well, the system works in broken way" is very bad.  
> >  
> Hum...  
>  
> I think users must know what they are doing.  
>  
yes. but it's a different problem,  
- "a user must know what they does."  
- "a system works without BUG even if the user is crazy."

> They must know that moving a process to another group  
> that doesn't have enough room for it may fail with half state,  
> if it is the behavior of kernel.  
> And they should handle the error by themselves, IMHO.  
>

I'm now considering following logic. How do you think ?

Assume: move TASK from group:Curr to group:DEST.

```
== move_task(TASK, CURR, DEST)
```

```
if (DEST's limit is unlimited)
    moving TASK
    return success.
```

```
usage = check_usage_of_task(TASK).
```

```
/* try to reserve enough room in destination */
if (try_to_reserve_enough_room(DEST, usage)) {
    move TASK to DEST and move pages AMAP.
    /* usage_of_task(TASK) can be changed while we do this.
       Then, we move AMAP. */
    return success;
}
```

return failure.

==

The difficult point will be reservation but can be implemented without complexity.

Thanks,  
-Kame

---

Containers mailing list  
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org  
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---