

---

Subject: Re: [RFC PATCH 0/5] memcg: VM overcommit accounting and handling  
Posted by [Balbir Singh](#) on Tue, 10 Jun 2008 05:13:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki wrote:

> On Tue, 10 Jun 2008 01:32:58 +0200

> Andrea Righi <[righi.andrea@gmail.com](mailto:righi.andrea@gmail.com)> wrote:

>

>> Provide distinct cgroup VM overcommit accounting and handling using the memory  
>> resource controller.

>>

>

> Could you explain the benefits of this even when we have memrlimit controller ?

> (If unsure, see 2.6.26-rc5-mm1 and search memrlimit controller.)

>

> And this kind of virtual-address-handling things should be implemented on

> memrlimit controller (means not on memory-resource-controller.).

> It seems this patch doesn't need to handle page\_group.

>

> Considering hierarchy, putting several kinds of features on one controller is

> not good, I think. Balbir, how do you think ?

>

I would tend to agree. With the memrlimit controller, can't we do this in user  
space now? Figure out the overcommit value and based on that setup the memrlimit?

--

Warm Regards,

Balbir Singh

Linux Technology Center

IBM, ISTL

---

Containers mailing list

[Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org](mailto:Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org)

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---