Subject: Re: [PATCH 0/4] swapcgroup(v2)
Posted by Balbir Singh on Tue, 27 May 2008 13:46:40 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Daisuke Nishimura wrote:
> On 2008/05/27 22:18 +0900, Balbir Singh wrote:
>> Daisuke Nishimura wrote:
>>> On 2008/05/27 16:42 +0900, Balbir Singh wrote:
>>>> YAMAMOTO Takashi wrote:
>>>>> hi,
>>>>>
>>>>>>> Thanks for looking into this. Yamamoto-San is also looking into a swap
>>>>>>> controller. Is there a consensus on the approach?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>> Not yet, but I think we should have some consensus each other
>>>>>> before going further.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Thanks,
>>>>>> Daisuke Nishimura.
>>>>> while nishimura-san's one still seems to have a lot of todo,
>>>>> it seems good enough as a start point to me.
>>>>> so i'd like to withdraw mine.
>>>>>
>>>> nishimura-san, is it ok for you?
>>>>
>>> Of cource.
>>> I'll work hard to make it better.
>>>
>>>> I would suggest that me merge the good parts from both into the swap controller.
>>>> Having said that I'll let the two of you decide on what the good aspects of both
>>>> are. I cannot see any immediate overlap, but there might be some w.r.t.
>>>> infrastructure used.
>>>>
>>> Well, you mean you'll make another patch based on yamamoto-san's
>>> and mine?
>>>
>>> Basically, I think it's difficult to merge
>>> because we charge different objects.
>>>
>> Yes, I know - that's why I said infrastructure, to see the grouping and common
>> data structure aspects. I'll try and review the code.
>>
> OK.
>
> I'll wait for your patch.

Hi, Daisuke-San

I am not sending out any patch (sorry for the confusion, if I caused it). I am going to review the swapcgroup patchset.

--
 Warm Regards,
 Balbir Singh
 Linux Technology Center
 IBM, ISTL

_____
Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers