

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH 0/4] swapcgroup(v2)  
Posted by [Balbir Singh](#) on Tue, 27 May 2008 13:46:40 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Daisuke Nishimura wrote:

> On 2008/05/27 22:18 +0900, Balbir Singh wrote:

>> Daisuke Nishimura wrote:

>>> On 2008/05/27 16:42 +0900, Balbir Singh wrote:

>>>> YAMAMOTO Takashi wrote:

>>>>> hi,

>>>>>

>>>>>> Thanks for looking into this. Yamamoto-San is also looking into a swap

>>>>>> controller. Is there a consensus on the approach?

>>>>>>

>>>>>> Not yet, but I think we should have some consensus each other

>>>>>> before going further.

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>> Thanks,

>>>>>> Daisuke Nishimura.

>>>>> while nishimura-san's one still seems to have a lot of todo,

>>>>> it seems good enough as a start point to me.

>>>>> so i'd like to withdraw mine.

>>>>>

>>>>> nishimura-san, is it ok for you?

>>>>>

>>> Of course.

>>> I'll work hard to make it better.

>>>

>>>> I would suggest that me merge the good parts from both into the swap controller.

>>>> Having said that I'll let the two of you decide on what the good aspects of both

>>>> are. I cannot see any immediate overlap, but there might be some w.r.t.

>>>> infrastructure used.

>>>>

>>> Well, you mean you'll make another patch based on yamamoto-san's

>>> and mine?

>>>

>>> Basically, I think it's difficult to merge

>>> because we charge different objects.

>>>

>> Yes, I know - that's why I said infrastructure, to see the grouping and common

>> data structure aspects. I'll try and review the code.

>>

> OK.

>

> I'll wait for your patch.

Hi, Daisuke-San

I am not sending out any patch (sorry for the confusion, if I caused it). I am going to review the swapcgroup patchset.

--

Warm Regards,  
Balbir Singh  
Linux Technology Center  
IBM, ISTL

---

Containers mailing list  
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org  
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---