

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH 0/4] swapcgroup(v2)

Posted by [Daisuke Nishimura](#) on Tue, 27 May 2008 13:42:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) < > [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 2008/05/27 22:18 +0900, Balbir Singh wrote:

> Daisuke Nishimura wrote:

>> On 2008/05/27 16:42 +0900, Balbir Singh wrote:

>>> YAMAMOTO Takashi wrote:

>>>> hi,

>>>>

>>>>> Thanks for looking into this. Yamamoto-San is also looking into a swap

>>>>> controller. Is there a consensus on the approach?

>>>>>

>>>>> Not yet, but I think we should have some consensus each other

>>>>> before going further.

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>> Thanks,

>>>>> Daisuke Nishimura.

>>>> while nishimura-san's one still seems to have a lot of todo,

>>>> it seems good enough as a start point to me.

>>>> so i'd like to withdraw mine.

>>>>

>>>> nishimura-san, is it ok for you?

>>>>

>> Of course.

>> I'll work hard to make it better.

>>

>>> I would suggest that me merge the good parts from both into the swap controller.

>>> Having said that I'll let the two of you decide on what the good aspects of both

>>> are. I cannot see any immediate overlap, but there might be some w.r.t.

>>> infrastructure used.

>>>

>> Well, you mean you'll make another patch based on yamamoto-san's

>> and mine?

>>

>> Basically, I think it's difficult to merge

>> because we charge different objects.

>>

>

> Yes, I know - that's why I said infrastructure, to see the grouping and common

> data structure aspects. I'll try and review the code.

>

OK.

I'll wait for your patch.

Thanks,  
Daisuke Nishimura.

---

Containers mailing list  
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org  
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---