
Subject: Re: [PATCH 0/4] swapcgroup(v2)
Posted by [Balbir Singh](#) on Tue, 27 May 2008 13:18:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Daisuke Nishimura wrote:

> On 2008/05/27 16:42 +0900, Balbir Singh wrote:

>> YAMAMOTO Takashi wrote:

>>> hi,

>>>

>>>> Thanks for looking into this. Yamamoto-San is also looking into a swap
>>>> controller. Is there a consensus on the approach?

>>>>

>>>> Not yet, but I think we should have some consensus each other
>>>> before going further.

>>>>

>>>>

>>>> Thanks,

>>>> Daisuke Nishimura.

>>> while nishimura-san's one still seems to have a lot of todo,

>>> it seems good enough as a start point to me.

>>> so i'd like to withdraw mine.

>>>

>>> nishimura-san, is it ok for you?

>>>

> Of course.

> I'll work hard to make it better.

>

>> I would suggest that me merge the good parts from both into the swap controller.

>> Having said that I'll let the two of you decide on what the good aspects of both

>> are. I cannot see any immediate overlap, but there might be some w.r.t.

>> infrastructure used.

>>

> Well, you mean you'll make another patch based on yamamoto-san's

> and mine?

>

> Basically, I think it's difficult to merge

> because we charge different objects.

>

Yes, I know - that's why I said infrastructure, to see the grouping and common data structure aspects. I'll try and review the code.

--

Warm Regards,

Balbir Singh

Linux Technology Center

IBM, ISTL

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
