Subject: Re: [PATCH 0/4] swapcgroup(v2) Posted by KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki on Fri, 23 May 2008 03:08:44 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Thu, 22 May 2008 22:26:55 -0400 Rik van Riel <riel@redhat.com> wrote:

> Even worse is that a cgroup has NO CONTROL over how much
> of its memory is kept in RAM and how much is swapped out.
Could you explain "NO CONTROL" ? cgroup has LRU....
'how mucch memory should be swapped out from memory' is well controlled in the VM besides LRU logic ?

> This kind of decision is made on a system-wide basis by

> the kernel, dependent on what other processes in the system

> are doing. There also is no easy way for a cgroup to reduce

> its swap use, unlike with other resources.

>

> In what scenario would you use a resource controller that

> rewards a group for reaching its limit?

>

> How can the cgroup swap space controller help sysadmins

> achieve performance or fairness goals on a system?

>

Perforamnce is not the first goal of this swap controller, I think. This is for resouce isolation/overcommiting.

1. Some _crazy_ people considers swap as very-slow-memory resource ;) I don't think so but I know there are tons of people....

2. Resource Isolation.

When a cgroup has memory limitation, it can create tons of swap. For example, limit a cgroup's memory to be 128M and malloc 3G bytes. 2.8Gbytes of swap will be used _easily_. A process can use up all swap. In that case, other process can't use swap.

IIRC, a man shown his motivation to controll swap in OLS2007/BOF as following. ==

Consider following system. (and there is no swap controller.) Memory 4G. Swap 1G. with 2 cgroups A, B.

state 1) swap is not used.

A....memory limit to be 1G no swap usage memory_usage=0M

B....memory limit to be 1G no swap usage memory_usage=0M

state 2) Run a big program on A.

A....memory limit to be 1G and try to use 1.7G. uses 700MBytes of swap.

memory_usage=1G swap_usage=700M

B....memory_usage=0M

state 3) A some of programs ends in 'A' A....memory_usage=500M swap_usage=700M B....memory_usage=0M.

state 4) Run a big program on B.

A...memory_usage=500M swap_usage=700M. B...memory_usage=1G_swap_usage=300M

Group B can only use 1.3G because of unfair swap use of group A. But users think why A uses 700M of swap with 500M of free memory....

If we don't have limitation to swap, we'll have to innovate a way to move swap to memory in some reasonable logic.

Thanks, -Kame

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Page 2 of 2 ---- Generated from OpenVZ Forum