Subject: RE: [RFC][v2][patch 0/12][CFQ-cgroup]Yet another I/O bandwidth controlling subsystem for CGroups bas Posted by Satoshi UCHIDA on Fri, 23 May 2008 02:53:50 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi, Tsuruta-san,

Thanks for your test.

>

> Uchida-san said,

>

- > > In the test #2 and #3, did you use direct write?
- > > I guess you have used the non-direct write I/O (using cache).

>

- > I answered "Yes," but actually I did not use direct write I/O, because
- > I ran these tests on Xen-HVM. Xen-HVM backend driver doesn't use direct
- > I/O for actual disk operations even though guest OS uses direct I/O.

>

Where did you build expanded CFQ schedulers?

I guess that schedulers can be control I/Os if it is built on guest OS, But not if on Dom0.

I guess you built on Dom0 so that you could not control I/O. (maybe, you say)

> So, I retested with the new testing environment and got good results.

> The number of I/Os is proportioned according to the priority levels.

>

Ok.

I'm testing both systems and get similar results. I will report my test in next week.

> Details of the tests are as follows:

>

- > Envirionment:
- > Linux version 2.6.25-rc2-mm1 based.
- > CPU0: Intel(R) Core(TM)2 CPU 6600 @ 2.40GHz stepping 06
- > CPU1: Intel(R) Core(TM)2 CPU 6600 @ 2.40GHz stepping 06
- > Memory: 2063568k/2088576k available (2085k kernel code, 23684k
- > reserved, 911k data, 240k init, 1171072k highmem)
- > scsi 1:0:0:0: Direct-Access ATA WDC WD2500JS-55N 10.0 PQ: 0 > ANSI: 5
- > sd 1:0:0:0: [sdb] 488397168 512-byte hardware sectors (250059 MB)
- > sd 1:0:0:0: [sdb] Write Protect is off

> 5	sd 1:0:0:0:	[sdb] Mode	Sense:	00 3a	00	00
-----	-------------	------------	--------	-------	----	----

- > sd 1:0:0:0: [sdb] Write cache: disabled, read cache: enabled,
- > doesn't support DPO or FUA
- > sdb: sdb1 sdb2 sdb3 sdb4 < sdb5 sdb6 sdb7 sdb8 sdb9 sdb10 sdb11</p>
- > sdb12 sdb13 sdb14 sdb15 >
- >

> Procedures:

- > o Prepare 3 partitions sdb5, sdb6 and sdb7.
- > o Run 100 processes issuing random direct I/O with 4KB data on each
- > partitions.
- > o Run 3 tests:
- > #1 issuing read I/O only.
- > #2 issuing write I/O only.
- > #3 sdb5 and sdb6 are read, sdb7 is write.

> o Count up the number of I/Os which have done in 60 seconds.

```
>
> Results:
```

```
Vasily's scheduler
>
       The number of I/Os (percentage to total I/Os)
>
>
> ----
 partition | sdb5 | sdb6 | sdb7 | total
>
>|
          | 7(highest) | 4 | 0(lowest) | I/Os
> | priority
>|
>
> |
> | #1 read | 3383(35%) | 3164(33%) | 3142(32%) | 9689
>|
           | 3017(42%) | 2372(33%) | 1851(26%) | 7240
> | #2 write
>|
> | #3 read&write | 4300(36%) | 3127(27%) | 1521(17%) | 8948
> |
>
> ------
>
            Satoshi's scheduler
>
       The number of I/Os (percentage to total I/O)
>
>
> -----
> | partition | sdb5 | sdb6 | sdb7 | total
>
> | priority | 0(highest) | 4 | 7(lowest) | I/Os
> |
>
> |
```

Thanks, Satoshi UCHIDA.

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Page 3 of 3 ---- Generated from OpenVZ Forum