
Subject: Re: [RFC][v2][patch 0/12][CFQ-cgroup]Yet another I/O bandwidth controlling subsystem for CGroups bas

Posted by [Ryo Tsuruta](#) on Thu, 22 May 2008 13:04:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Uchida-san,

I realized that the benchmark results which I posted on Apr 25 had some problems with the testing environment.

From: Ryo Tsuruta <ryov@valinux.co.jp>
Subject: Re: [RFC][v2][patch 0/12][CFQ-cgroup]Yet another I/O bandwidth controlling subsystem for CGroups based on CFQ
Date: Fri, 25 Apr 2008 18:54:44 +0900 (JST)

Uchida-san said,

> In the test #2 and #3, did you use direct write?
> I guess you have used the non-direct write I/O (using cache).

I answered "Yes," but actually I did not use direct write I/O, because I ran these tests on Xen-HVM. Xen-HVM backend driver doesn't use direct I/O for actual disk operations even though guest OS uses direct I/O.

Another problem was that the CPU time was used up during the tests.

So, I retested with the new testing environment and got good results. The number of I/Os is proportioned according to the priority levels.

Details of the tests are as follows:

Environment:

Linux version 2.6.25-rc2-mm1 based.

CPU0: Intel(R) Core(TM)2 CPU 6600 @ 2.40GHz stepping 06

CPU1: Intel(R) Core(TM)2 CPU 6600 @ 2.40GHz stepping 06

Memory: 2063568k/2088576k available (2085k kernel code, 23684k reserved, 911k data, 240k init, 1171072k highmem)

scsi 1:0:0:0: Direct-Access ATA WDC WD2500JS-55N 10.0 PQ: 0 ANSI: 5

sd 1:0:0:0: [sdb] 488397168 512-byte hardware sectors (250059 MB)

sd 1:0:0:0: [sdb] Write Protect is off

sd 1:0:0:0: [sdb] Mode Sense: 00 3a 00 00

sd 1:0:0:0: [sdb] Write cache: disabled, read cache: enabled, doesn't support DPO or FUA

sdb: sdb1 sdb2 sdb3 sdb4 < sdb5 sdb6 sdb7 sdb8 sdb9 sdb10 sdb11

sdb12 sdb13 sdb14 sdb15 >

Procedures:

o Prepare 3 partitions sdb5, sdb6 and sdb7.

- o Run 100 processes issuing random direct I/O with 4KB data on each partitions.
- o Run 3 tests:
 - #1 issuing read I/O only.
 - #2 issuing write I/O only.
 - #3 sdb5 and sdb6 are read, sdb7 is write.
- o Count up the number of I/Os which have done in 60 seconds.

Results:

Vasily's scheduler

The number of I/Os (percentage to total I/Os)

```

-----
| partition | | sdb5 | | sdb6 | | sdb7 | | total |
| priority  | | 7(highest) | | 4 | | 0(lowest) | | I/Os |
|-----+-----+-----+-----+-----|
| #1 read   | | 3383(35%) | | 3164(33%) | | 3142(32%) | | 9689 |
| #2 write  | | 3017(42%) | | 2372(33%) | | 1851(26%) | | 7240 |
| #3 read&write | | 4300(36%) | | 3127(27%) | | 1521(17%) | | 8948 |
-----

```

Satoshi's scheduler

The number of I/Os (percentage to total I/O)

```

-----
| partition | | sdb5 | | sdb6 | | sdb7 | | total |
| priority  | | 0(highest) | | 4 | | 7(lowest) | | I/Os |
|-----+-----+-----+-----+-----|
| #1 read   | | 3907(47%) | | 3126(38%) | | 1260(15%) | | 8293 |
| #2 write  | | 3389(41%) | | 3024(36%) | | 1901(23%) | | 8314 |
| #3 read&write | | 5028(53%) | | 3961(42%) | | 441( 5%) | | 9430 |
-----

```

Thanks,
Ryo Tsuruta

Containers mailing list
 Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>