
Subject: Re: [RFC/PATCH 1/8]: CGroup Files: Add locking mode to cgroups control files

Posted by [akpm](#) on Tue, 13 May 2008 20:43:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Tue, 13 May 2008 13:38:58 -0700

Matthew Helsley <matthlhc@us.ibm.com> wrote:

> > + char static_buffer[64];

>

> > I'm trying to work out what protects static_buffer?

>

> One of us must be having a brain cramp because it looks to me like the

> buffer doesn't need protection -- it's on the stack. It's probably me

> but I'm just not seeing how this use is unsafe..

doh. Well it had me going...

> Uh, it is on stack. It doesn't use the C keyword "static". It's just

> poorly-named.

That depends upon one's objectives in choosing a name ;)

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
