Subject: Re: [RFC/PATCH 1/8]: CGroup Files: Add locking mode to cgroups control files

Posted by akpm on Tue, 13 May 2008 20:43:54 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Tue, 13 May 2008 13:38:58 -0700 Matthew Helsley <matthltc@us.ibm.com> wrote:

>>> + char static_buffer[64];

>

> > I'm trying to work out what protects static_buffer?

>

- > One of us must be having a brain cramp because it looks to me like the
- > buffer doesn't need protection -- it's on the stack. It's probably me
- > but I'm just not seeing how this use is unsafe..

doh. Well it had me going...

- > Uh, it is on stack. It doesn't use the C keyword "static". It's just
- > poorly-named.

That depends upon one's objectives in choosing a name;)

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers