
Subject: Re: [PATCH 1/8] Scaling msgmni to the amount of lowmem
Posted by [Nadia Derby](#) on Wed, 07 May 2008 05:13:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Luck, Tony wrote:

>>Well, this printk had been suggested by somebody (sorry I don't remember
>>who) when I first submitted the patch. Actually I think it might be
>>useful for a sysadmin to be aware of a change in the msgmni value: we
>>have the message not only at boot time, but also each time msgmni is
>>recomputed because of a change in the amount of memory.

>

>

> If the message is directed at the system administrator, then it would
> be nice if there were some more meaningful way to show the namespace
> that is affected than just printing the hex address of the kernel structure.

>

> As the sysadmin for my test systems, printing the hex address is mildly
> annoying ... I now have to add a new case to my scripts that look at
> dmesg output for unusual activity.

>

> Is there some better "name for a namespace" than the address? Perhaps
> the process id of the process that instantiated the namespace???

>

Unfortunately no when we are inside an ipc namespace, we don't have such
interesting informations. But I agree with you, an address is not
readable enough. I'll try to find a solution.

Regards,
Nadia

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
