Subject: Re: [RFC][-mm] Simple stats for cpu resource controller v3 Posted by Balaji Rao on Fri, 02 May 2008 22:47:03 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On Saturday 03 May 2008 01:23:04 am Andrew Morton wrote:
> On Sat, 3 May 2008 01:10:28 +0530
>
> Balaji Rao <balajirrao@gmail.com> wrote:
> On Friday 02 May 2008 02:30:26 am Andrew Morton wrote:
> > <snip>
> >
> > Hi Andrew,
> > Thank you for the review.
>> Did you consider using include/linux/percpu_counter.h?
>>> If so, what was wrong with it?
>>> Because it would be much better to fix per-cpu counters than to invent
>>> new stuff.
> >
>> No, I hadn't consider using the percpu_counters infrastructure. But today
>> when I tried using it, I got an early exception. I guess its because I
> > tried calling percpu_counter_init from within sched_init, which I perhaps
> > shouldn't do, because percpu_counter_init expects cpu hotplug code to be
> > initialized by then. Right? Correct me if I'm wrong.
> I don't see any reason why we cannot run percpu counter init() prior to
> running percpu_counter_startup(). And it is desirable that we be able to
> start using the percpu-counters guite early.
>
> Can you debug it a bit please? It's probably some silly little thing,
> perhaps fixable by calling percpu_counter_startup() earlier.
>
percpu counter init uses kmalloc to create percpu counters. This raises an
early exception as kmem_cache is not initialized that early.
```

It worked for me if we statically allocate memory for the counters. But its not at all a nice thing to do and I don't see another way to make it fit for early use.

I'm beginning to run out of ideas! Why not do what I earlier suggested - begin collecting statistics once we are able to safely use percpu_counters? This now seems to be the best alternative IMHO.

Warm Regards,

Balaji Rao Dept. of Mechanical Engineering NITK

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers