Subject: Re: [RFC][v2][patch 0/12][CFQ-cgroup]Yet another I/O bandwidth controlling subsystem for CGroup Posted by Florian Westphal on Fri, 25 Apr 2008 21:37:02 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Ryo Tsuruta <ryov@valinux.co.jp> wrote:

[..]

> I'd like to see other benchmark results if anyone has.

Here are a few results. IO is issued in 4k chunks, using O_DIRECT. Each process issues both reads and writes. There are 60 such processes in each cgroup (except where noted). Numbers given show the total count of io requests (read and write) completed in 60 seconds. All processes use the same partition, fs is ext3.

Vasily's scheduler:

cgroup s0	s1	total		
priority 4	4	I/Os		
24953 24062 49015 29558(60 processes) 14639 (30 proc) 44197				
priority 0	4			
24221	24047	48268		
priority 1	4			
24897	24509	49406		
priority 2	4			
23295	23622	46917		
priority 0	7			
22301	23373	45674		

Satoshi's scheduler:

cgroup s0 priority 3 25175 26944 (60)	s1 3 26463 26698	total I/Os 51638 (30) 53642
priority 0 60821 priority 1 50608 priority 2 32132	3 19846 3 25994 3 26641	
32132 priority 7	0	

| 91387 | 12547 | 103934|

So in short, i can't see any effect when i use Vasily's i/o scheduler. Setting echo 10 > /sys/block/hda/queue/iosched/cgrp_slice did at least show different results in the 'prio 7 vs. prio 0 case' (~29000 (prio 7) vs. 20000 (prio 0)).

What i found surprising is that Satoshis scheduler has about twice of the io count...

Thanks, Florian