
Subject: Re: [RFC][v2][patch 0/12][CFQ-cgroup]Yet another I/O bandwidth controlling subsystem for CGroups bas

Posted by [Ryo Tsuruta](#) on Fri, 25 Apr 2008 09:54:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi,

I report benchmark results of the following I/O bandwidth controllers.

From: Vasily Tarasov <vtaras@openvz.org>

Subject: [RFC][PATCH 0/9] cgroups: block: cfq: I/O bandwidth controlling subsystem for CGroups based on CFQ

Date: Fri, 15 Feb 2008 01:53:34 -0500

From: "Satoshi UCHIDA" <s-uchida@ap.jp.nec.com>

Subject: [RFC][v2][patch 0/12][CFQ-cgroup]Yet another I/O bandwidth controlling subsystem for CGroups based on CFQ

Date: Thu, 3 Apr 2008 16:09:12 +0900

The test procedure is as follows:

- o Prepare 3 partitions sdc2, sdc3 and sdc4.
- o Run 100 processes issuing random direct I/O with 4KB data on each partitions.
- o Run 3 tests:
 - #1 issuing read I/O only.
 - #2 issuing write I/O only.
 - #3 sdc2 and sdc3 are read, sdc4 is write.
- o Count up the number of I/Os which have done in 60 seconds.

Unfortunately, both bandwidth controllers didn't work as I expected, On the test #3, the write I/O ate up the bandwidth regardless of the specified priority level.

Vasily's scheduler

The number of I/Os (percentage to total I/Os)

```
-----  
| partition | sdc2 | sdc3 | sdc4 | total |  
| priority  | 7(highest) | 4 | 0(lowest) | I/Os |  
|-----+-----+-----+-----|  
| #1 read   | 3620(35.6%) | 3474(34.2%) | 3065(30.2%) | 10159 |  
| #2 write  | 21985(36.6%) | 19274(32.1%) | 18856(31.4%) | 60115 |  
| #3 read&write | 5571( 7.5%) | 3253( 4.4%) | 64977(88.0%) | 73801 |  
-----
```

Satoshi's scheduler

The number of I/Os (percentage to total I/O)

```
-----  
| partition | sdc2 | sdc3 | sdc4 | total |
```

priority	0(highest)	4	7(lowest)	I/Os
#1 read	4523(47.8%)	3733(39.5%)	1204(12.7%)	9460
#2 write	65202(59.0%)	35603(32.2%)	9673(8.8%)	110478
#3 read&write	5328(23.0%)	4153(17.9%)	13694(59.1%)	23175

I'd like to see other benchmark results if anyone has.

Thanks,
Ryo Tsuruta