
Subject: Re: Checkpoint/restart (was Re: [PATCH 0/4] - v2 - Object creation with a specified id)

Posted by [Kirill Korotaev](#) on Thu, 24 Apr 2008 07:00:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yeah... we talked with Andrew yesterday. He mostly agrees with a black box.

He also said an interesting idea, that if you need compatibility between the kernels (like we for example, support for migration from 2.6.9 to 2.6.18 in OpenVZ) you can do image conversion in user-space... Though I think we will prefer simply to have a compatibility patch for specific kernel versions in our kernel tree (not in mainstream).

Definitely, other ideas/opinions are welcome.

Kirill

Dave Hansen wrote:

> On Wed, 2008-04-23 at 10:40 +0400, Kirill Korotaev wrote:

>> Having all this functionality in a single syscall we specifically CLAIM a black box,
>> and that no one can use this interfaces for something different from checkpoint/restore.

>>

>> So I think we have to know what other maintainers think before we can go.

>

> I completely agree.

>

> Have you asked any particular maintainers what they think?

>

> -- Dave

>

>

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
