
Subject: Re: [PATCH 0/3] clone64() and unshare64() system calls
Posted by [Paul Menage](#) on Thu, 10 Apr 2008 02:43:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Wed, Apr 9, 2008 at 7:38 PM, <sukadev@us.ibm.com> wrote:

>
> But as Jon Corbet pointed out in the the thread above, it looked like
> adding a new system call has been the "traditional" way of solving this
> in Linux so far and there has been no consensus on a newer approach.
>

I thought that the consensus was that adding a new system call was better than trying to force extensibility on to the existing non-extensible system call.

But if we are adding a new system call, why not make the new one extensible to reduce the need for yet another new call in the future?

Paul

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
