Subject: Re: [RFC][-mm] [1/2] Simple stats for cpu resource controller Posted by Balbir Singh on Sun, 06 Apr 2008 05:12:17 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Balaji Rao wrote: > On Sunday 06 April 2008 02:29:14 am Dhaval Giani wrote: >> On Sun, Apr 06, 2008 at 02:01:52AM +0530, Balaji Rao wrote: >>> On Sunday 06 April 2008 01:10:41 am Dhaval Giani wrote: >>>> +}; >>>> + >>>> +struct cpu_cgroup_stat_cpu { >>>> + s64 count[CPU CGROUP STAT NSTATS]; >>>> u64? time does not go negative :) >>> Right. But these stats are not only going to measure time. We need the > same >>> variables for measuring other stats as well. I'm not sure if we would >>> encounter scheduler stats that would count negative. >>> >>> Balbir, what do you say? >> I would prefer to keep the stats logically separate. So something like >> struct cpu cgroup stat cpu { >> u64 time[]; >> s64 some_other_stat; >> } >> and so on. (I am not sure, is there some advantage gained by using >> structs?) Makes the code more maintainable imho. >> > This would break the generic nature of __cpu_cgroup_stat_add. Its not a nice > thing in my opinion. >

I prefer keeping stats in the array as Balaji has done, it makes it easier to do batch processing on the stats.

Warm Regards, Balbir Singh Linux Technology Center IBM, ISTL

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers