

---

Subject: Re: [RFC][-mm] [1/2] Simple stats for cpu resource controller  
Posted by [Balaji Rao](#) on Fri, 28 Mar 2008 10:02:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Thursday 27 March 2008 01:28:10 am Peter Zijlstra wrote:

> On Wed, 2008-03-26 at 23:48 +0530, Balaji Rao wrote:

<snip>

> > /\* Called under irq disable. \*/

> > +static void \_\_cpu\_cgroup\_stat\_add\_safe(struct cpu\_cgroup\_stat \*stat,

> > + enum cpu\_cgroup\_stat\_index idx, int val)

>

> What is safe about this function?

>

That it can be called only from an interrupt context.

> > +{

> > + int cpu = smp\_processor\_id();

> > +

> > + BUG\_ON(!irqs\_disabled());

> > + stat->cpustat[cpu].count[idx] += val;

> > +}

> > +#endif

> > +

> > /\* task group related information \*/

> > struct task\_group {

> > #ifdef CONFIG\_CGROUP\_SCHED

> > struct cgroup\_subsys\_state css;

> > + struct cpu\_cgroup\_stat stat;

> > #endif

> >

> > #ifdef CONFIG\_FAIR\_GROUP\_SCHED

> > @@ -3670,6 +3698,16 @@ void account\_user\_time(struct task\_struct \*p, cputime\_t cputime)

> > cpustat->nice = cputime64\_add(cpustat->nice, tmp);

> > else

> > cpustat->user = cputime64\_add(cpustat->user, tmp);

> > +

> > + /\* Charge the task's group \*/

> > +#ifdef CONFIG\_CGROUP\_SCHED

> > + {

> > + struct task\_group \*tg;

> > + tg = task\_group(p);

> > + \_\_cpu\_cgroup\_stat\_add\_safe(&tg->stat, CPU\_CGROUP\_STAT\_UTIME,

> > + cputime\_to\_msecs(cputime));

> > + }

> > +#endif

> > }

> >

> > /\*

> > @@ -3733,6 +3771,15 @@ void account\_system\_time(struct task\_struct \*p, int

```
hardirq_offset,  
> >   cpustat->idle = cputime64_add(cpustat->idle, tmp);  
> > /* Account for system time used */  
> >   acct_update_integrals(p);  
> > +  
> > +#ifdef CONFIG_CGROUP_SCHED  
> > + {  
> > + struct task_group *tg;  
> > + tg = task_group(p);  
> > + __cpu_cgroup_stat_add_safe(&tg->stat, CPU_CGROUP_STAT_STIME,  
> > +   cputime_to_msecs(cputime));  
> > +}  
> > +#endif  
> > }  
>  
> So both of these are tick based? The normal CFS [us]time stats are not.  
>  
Hmmm.. Yea, right. So I should use the approach used by task_utime and task_stime when  
reporting it, right ?  
> > /*  
> > @@ -7939,6 +7986,40 @@ static u64 cpu_shares_read_u64(struct cgroup *cgrp, struct  
cftype *cft)  
> >  
> >   return (u64) tg->shares;  
> > }  
> > +
```

Thanks for the review.

--  
regards,  
balaji rao

---

Containers mailing list  
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org  
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---