Subject: Re: [PATCH net-2.6.26 2/6][NETNS][SOCK]: Introduce per-net inuse counters.

Posted by Pavel Emelianov on Fri, 28 Mar 2008 07:18:47 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Eric Dumazet wrote:

```
>> This is probably the most controversial part of the set.
>> The counters are stored in a per-cpu array on a struct net. To
>> index in this array the prot->inuse is declared as int and used.
>> Numbers (indices) to protos are generated with the appropriate
>> enum. I though about using some existing IPPROTO_XXX numbers for
>> protocols but they were too large (IPPROTO_RAW is 255) and did
>> not differ for ipv4 and ipv6 (there's no IP6PROTO_RAW, etc).
>>
>> The sock_prot_inuse_(add|get) now use the net argument to
>> get the counter, but this all hides under CONFIG NET NS.
>>
>> The sock prot inuse (init|fini) are no-ops. DEFINE PROTO INUSE
>> is empty and REF PROTO INUSE assigns an index to a proto.
>>
>>
> Given that:
> 1) pcounter should really go away from kernel, since Andrew disagree
> with the implementation.
Does this and ... (below)
> 2) the need to enumerate all protocols in your enum, it seems ... ugly :)
Yup:(
> 3) alloc_percpu(struct net_prot_inuse) per net is nice because we dont
> waste memory (if we had to use percpu counters for each proto for example)
> I suggest to:
> 1) not use pcounter anymore
... this mean that I can rework the inuse accounting in order not
to use pcounters at all even with CONFIG_NET_NS=n? :)
> 2) change 'inuse' field to 'inuse idx' or 'prot num' that is
> automatically allocated at proto register time, instead statically at
```

> compile time.

Hm... I like this approach. Will do.

> Just provide a big enough NET_INUSE_NR (might depend on IPV6 present or > not, static or module) to take into account all possible protocols.

Well, I though about this, but wasn't sure whether such heuristics would be accepted.

```
> struct net_prot_inuse {
> int val[NET_INUSE_NR];
> };
>
```