

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH 2/4] Block I/O tracking  
Posted by [KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki](#) on Tue, 18 Mar 2008 11:52:18 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Tue, 18 Mar 2008 20:34:22 +0900 (JST)  
Hirokazu Takahashi <taka@valinux.co.jp> wrote:

>  
> > And, blist seems to be just used for force\_empty.  
> > Do you really need this ? no alternative ?  
>  
> I selected this approach because it was the simplest way for the  
> first implementation.  
>  
> I've been also thinking about what you pointed.  
> If you don't mind taking a long time to remove a bio cgroup, it will be  
> the easiest way that you can scan all pages to find the pages which  
> belong to the cgroup and delete them. It may be enough since you may  
> say it will rarely happen. But it might cause some trouble on machines  
> with huge memory.  
>  
> Hmm, force\_empty itself is necessary ?

Thanks,  
-Kame

---

Containers mailing list  
[Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org](mailto:Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org)  
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---