Subject: Re: [PATCH] cgroups: implement device whitelist lsm (v3) Posted by Greg KH on Tue, 18 Mar 2008 06:48:42 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Mon, Mar 17, 2008 at 09:26:39AM -0400, Stephen Smalley wrote:

- >>> The original promise was that LSM would allow kernels to be built that
- >> shed capabilities altogether,

> >

>> I don't remember that, but it's been a long time so it could be true.

>

- > "One of the explicit requirements to get LSM into the kernel was to have
- > the ability to make capabilities be a module. This allows the embedded
- > people to completely remove capabilities, as they really want this. I
- > don't think we can ignore this, no matter how much of a pain in the butt
- > it is :)" Greg KH

>

- > Quoted from:
- > http://marc.info/?l=linux-security-module&m=99236500727804&w=2

>

- > Ironically, since that time, capabilities have doubled in size and still
- > can't be removed from the core kernel since LSM didn't push the state
- > into the security blobs.

Maybe we need to seriously revisit this and perhaps rip capabilities back out and put it always into the kernel if it's always a requirement.

Comments made 7 years ago might be totally wrong when we have now learned how this all has worked out...

thanks,

greg k-h

O and a line and a self-line at line

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers