

---

Subject: Re: [RFC][PATCH] another swap controller for cgroup  
Posted by [Daisuke Nishimura](#) on Mon, 17 Mar 2008 08:15:16 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi, Yamamoto-san.

I'm reviewing and testing your patch now.

I think your implementation is better because:

- the group to be charged is determined correctly at the point of swapout, without fixing the behavior of `move_task` of `memcg`.  
(I think the behavior of `move_task` of `memcg` should be fixed anyway.)
- the group to be uncharged is remembered in `page struct` of `pmd`, so there is no need to add array of pointers to `swap_info_struct`.

> - anonymous objects (`shmem`) are not accounted.

IMHO, `shmem` should be accounted.

I agree it's difficult in your implementation,  
but are you going to support it?

Thanks,  
Daisuke Nishimura.

---

Containers mailing list  
[Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org](mailto:Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org)  
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---