

---

Subject: Re: [RFC][PATCH 0/4] Object creation with a specified id  
Posted by [Nadia Derby](#) on Fri, 14 Mar 2008 16:11:34 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Pavel Emelyanov wrote:

> Oren Laadan wrote:

>

>>Nadia Derby wrote:

>>

>>>Oren Laadan wrote:

>>>

>>>>Nadia.Derbey@bull.net wrote:

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>>A couple of weeks ago, a discussion has started after Pierre's

>>>>>proposal for

>>>>>a new syscall to change an ipc id (see thread

>>>>><http://lkml.org/lkml/2008/1/29/209>).

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>>Oren's suggestion was to force an object's id during its creation,

>>>>>rather

>>>>>than 1. create it, 2. change its id.

>>>>>

>>>>>So here is an implementation of what Oren has suggested.

>>>>>

>>>>>2 new files are defined under /proc/self:

>>>>> . next\_ipcid --> next id to use for ipc object creation

>>>>> . next\_pids --> next upid nr(s) to use for next task to be forked

>>>>> (see patch #2 for more details).

>>>>>

>>>>>Generally looks good. One meta-comment, though:

>>>>>

>>>>>I wonder why you use separate files for separate resources,

>>>>>

>>>>>That would be needed in a situation where we don't care about next,

>>>>>say, ipc id to be created but we need a predefined pid. But I must admit

>>>>>I don't see any practical application to it.

>>>>>

>>>>>exactly; why set the next-ipc value so far in advance ? I think it's

>>>>>better (and less confusing) if we require that setting the next-id value

>>>>>be done right before the respective syscall.

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>>And race with some other syscall caller? This will only work if the next-ipc-id

>>>>>and the next-pid are on a task\_struct. Are they (at least supposed to be such)?

>>>>>

>>>>>

Yes they are.

Regards,  
Nadia

---

Containers mailing list  
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org  
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---