Subject: Re: Mainstream progress?
Posted by Pavel Emelianov on Thu, 13 Mar 2008 13:20:35 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
Kir Kolyshkin wrote:
> Pavel,
>
> Please correct/enlarge me if there's anything missing/incorrect.
> Carl-Daniel Hailfinger wrote:
>> Hi,
>>
>> the ongoing merge of OpenVZ-based lightweight virtualization into
>> mainline Linux seems to be progressing nicely and I have a few questions
>> about it:
>> - How usable will net namespaces be in 2.6.25? I'm especially interested
>> in assigning a real hardware ethernet device to a VE.
>>
>
> I guess some network namespace stuff is NOT completed in upcoming 2.6.25
> (a lot of stuff done, but not finished)
> For 2.6.26, it will be more-or-less working, with just a few exceptions
> such as conntracks and IPv6 -- maybe.
>> - Will there be an OpenVZ patch or against 2.6.25?
>>
>
> As of now we do not plan to have one. Porting to each mainstream version
> is too much work to do -- instead we concentrate on
> (1) merging stuff to mainstream
> (2) supporting our stable kernels
> (3) stabilizing 2.6.24 (for now)
2.6.26 will be the next kernel we will port on.
>> - Do you expect net namespace/virtualization work to be completely
>> merged in 2.6.26?
>>
> As said before, we guess that network namespaces will be more or less
> useable in 2.6.26.
>> - Which OpenVZ features will not make it to mainline in the 2.6.26
>> timeframe?
>>
> A lot. Network namespaces is just one piece of a pie.
```

>

- > To paint in big strokes: resource management and live migration are
- > still on our todo list.

>

- > Resource management: some stuff is in -mm kernel, need to add much more.
- > Will work on that after finishing with net namespaces.

Memory management will change with 2.6.26. API will be kept, but we'll have a per-ve swapout and (probably) drop privympages accounting.

- > Live migration: this is much more far perspective, we haven't even
- > started to discuss that seriously on containers@ list.
- >> Some of the questions above probably can't be answered without guessing,
- >> but a good guess is better than no information and I'd appreciate any
- >> status update newer than the OpenVZ blog entry from 2008-01-26.

>>

- >> Thanks,
- >> Carl-Daniel