Subject: Re: [PATCH 2/2] Make res_counter hierarchical Posted by Balbir Singh on Tue, 11 Mar 2008 15:59:38 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Paul Menage wrote:

- > On Tue, Mar 11, 2008 at 2:16 AM, Balbir Singh <balbir@linux.vnet.ibm.com> wrote:
- >> Paul Menage wrote:
- >> > On Tue, Mar 11, 2008 at 2:13 AM, KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki
- >> > <kamezawa.hiroyu@jp.fujitsu.com> wrote:
- >> >> or remove all relationship among counters of *different* type of resources.
- >> >> user-land-daemon will do enough jobs.
- >> >>
- >> >
- >> > Yes, that would be my preferred choice, if people agree that
- >> > hierarchically limiting overall virtual memory isn't useful. (I don't
- >> > think I have a use for it myself).
- >> >
- >>
- >> Virtual limits are very useful. I have a patch ready to send out.
- >> They limit the amount of paging a cgroup can do (virtual limit RSS limit).

>

- > Ah, from this should I assume that you're talking about virtual
- > address space limits, not virtual memory limits?

>

- > My comment above was referring to Pavel's proposal to limit total
- > virtual memory (RAM + swap) for a cgroup, and then limit swap as a
- > subset of that, which basically makes it impossible to limit the RAM
- > usage of cgroups properly if you also want to allow swap usage.

>

> Virtual address space limits are somewhat orthogonal to that.

>

Yes, I was referring to Virtual address limits (along the lines of RLIMIT_AS). I guess it's just confusing terminology. I have patches for Virtual address limits. I should send them out soon.

__

Warm Regards, Balbir Singh Linux Technology Center IBM, ISTL

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers